

Pacific Perspectives under SAICM on Safety of Manufactured Nanomaterials

Presented by Ms Imogen INGRAM
from Island Sustainability Alliance CIS Inc. („ISACI“)
PO Box 492
Rarotonga
COOK ISLANDS (Pacific Sub-Region)

Email: imogenpuaingram@gmail.com



IPEN Nano Working Group

- IPEN (International POPs Elimination Network) is made up of over 800 international NGOs from 5 continents. 50 of these, including several in the Asia-Pacific Region, belong to IPEN's nano working group, set up in 2009.
- In 2013 the declaration formulated about workers health was formulated at a multi-stakeholder meeting. It is available here: <http://bit.ly/169xHWn>.
- It called for a precautionary approach by all international organizations (including ILO, FAO, WHO) and further called for industry to keep workers inform their workers with regard to workplace use of nanomaterials.



IPEN Information Booklet

- IPEN has prepared an information booklet entitled „The Social and Environmental Implications of Nanotechnology Development in Asia-Pacific“, covering each of the five sub-regions. It is available for downloading from the sites below:
- http://ipen.org/pdfs/nano_booklet_sept_5.pdf
http://www.ipen.org/pdfs/Nanotechnology_en.pdf

IMPORTANCE OF SAICM FORUM

- SAICM has provided the only global forum for discussion of nanomaterials and related issues among Small Island Developing States, developing countries and countries with economies in transition.
- Notable achievements have been the reports on nanomaterials presented to SAICM OEWG1 and ICCM3 and the inclusion of nano specific activities in the Global Plan of Action.
- Thanks to UNITAR for enabling participation of Civil Society, and to NANOTEC for our excellent meeting arrangements





Great Expectations for Use of Nanotechnology – And Reality Check

- Initially, nanotechnology offered technical solutions to environmental issues. Suggested applications included remediation of contaminated soils (e.g. zero-valent iron); cheap potable water treatment; effective wastewater treatments; energy production and storage; delivery of medical drugs in cancer treatment.
- In reality the applications have been mostly product-oriented (e.g. odorless and stain resistant textiles, cosmetics, better performing sport equipment etc...)
- The rationale for governments to use nano solutions is avoidance of future budgetary costs caused by poor health and ecosystem damage.



a toxics-free future



Reasons for Caution With Regard to Manufactured nanomaterials

- HazMat suits and hermetically-sealed laboratories required when working with nanomaterials because of the danger of ingesting nanoparticles
- Toxicology of manufactured nanoparticles to human health and the environment varies greatly when comparing the bulk form with the manufactured nanomaterial e.g. titanium dioxide
- Some carbon nanotubes act like asbestos
- Some nanoparticles have shown severe toxicity to fish species and freshwater ecosystems
- Exposure to silver nanoparticles in young males affects sperm quality
- Some nanoparticles crossed the blood-brain barrier, and others transfer through the placenta to the foetus



WHAT WE DO & DON'T KNOW ABOUT SAFETY OF NANOMATERIALS

- Studies have shown that there are unwanted effects, but not enough is known about how to evaluate them or how to anticipate and counteract them
- Environmentally-sound disposal of products containing manufactured nanomaterials has not been adequately addressed
- Given this uncertainty, authorities owe their citizens a duty of care and should foster full life-cycle management of products containing manufactured nanomaterials

DECLARATION ON NANOMATERIALS

- In 2013, IPEN CSOs participated at a seminar held in Latin America about the impact on workers of nano development. It was attended by social scientists, chemists and physicists, trade unionists and a lawyer.
- A joint declaration was formulated, which has received wide support. It called for a precautionary approach by all international organizations (including ILO, FAO, WHO) with regard to the impact of nano on workers; further, it called on industry globally to inform their workers when using nanomaterials.
- The declaration is available here: <http://bit.ly/169xHWn>
- Similar declarations were made in other regions, including Africa.



RELEVANCE OF THE REGIONAL RESOLUTIONS TO PACIFIC SMALL ISLAND STATES

- The main focus of these resolutions was:
- To uphold the principle that if there is no data, then there is no market
- No nano wastes for countries which cannot deal with them appropriately
- Implementation of precautionary regulatory framework
- Enabling countries & consumers to have the Right to know about products containing manufactured nanomaterials. As importer countries, Pacific SIDS could use the Global Harmonized System for Customs Tariffs to
- identify such products [*pictograms like the “Nano Mark” would be effective, after certification*]



PACIFIC SIDS NANO ISSUES Page 1

- Yesterday's presentation on wastewater indicated that there is insufficient data regarding the impact on human health & the environment. Pacific SIDS do not have the capacity to deal with treatment of discarded products containing nanomaterials in an environmentally sound manner., so more research is needed.
- Proper treatment of nanoparticles in wastewater are of special importance to Pacific SIDS. First, tourism is a significant revenue earner for Pacific SIDS, but a lot of wastewater is generated by this sector. Wastewater treatment is focused mainly on bacterial pollution but remediation of chemical pollution
- (including nanoparticles) is needed e.g. Agricultural pesticides; nanosilver from washing textiles
-

PACIFIC SIDS NANO ISSUES Page 2

- There is now evidence that nanoparticles are being detected in fish, which we rely on for our daily protein. We would like biomonitoring of fish in order to determine if there is a threat to food security and also to ensure measures are taken to reduce exposure from traditional food sources.
- Many Pacific SIDS give licences to distant fishing nations for commercial fishing in their EEZ. The majority of the tuna fish on the Tokyo fish market comes from the Pacific. So international consumers should also be assured that the fish on their plate is of good quality.
- Marine plastic debris is also a concern to Pacific SIDS because the breaking of waves on barrier reefs can create nanoparticles in the that contain residual POPs or heavy metals from the colours in the plastics. Current collection activities now taking place in the Indian Ocean need to be expanded to the Pacific Ocean.

PACIFIC SIDS NANO ISSUES Page 3

- Canadian research [see [Canadian Chemicals News](#), Nov/Dec 2014] indicates that wastewater ultrafiltration does not pick up all nanoparticles; and then there us the problem of what to do with them after they have been captured. Common practice is to spray dried sewage sludge on agriculture fields, which results in return of the pollutant to the environment. The implication is that nanoparticles in freshwater system will drain into the ocean, where they are circulated and become ingested by the fish that we depend on for daily protein.
- Reduction of marine pollution is one of the features of SDG#14, a stand-alone goal for the protection and promotion of oceans that is of high importance to Pacific SIDS. It will be negotiated as part of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals later this s month in New York the Summit will be held on Post-2015 Development Agenda.

GUIDELINES TO CREATE PRECAUTIONARY FRAMEWORK FOR NANOMATERIALS

- More is required in terms of political will and financial commitments to implement the collaborative actions in the Global Plan of Action that were recommended at ICCM3.
- Discarded products containing nanomaterials need to be treated as hazardous wastes using BAT-BEP, in parallel with efforts to draft the legal and technical guidelines to establish a precautionary framework for safer use.

THANK YOU FOR LISTENING !

